

SOURCES 101 TESTS

With regard to Walt Jung's recent article ("Sources 101: Audio Current Regulator Tests for High Performance," April '07 *aX*, p. 10), I am curious how he managed to get a one V_{be} current source to perform worse than a two V_{be} current source. My hand calculations and SPICE simulation show the one V_{be} source to be 5 to 6dB better than the two V_{be} source. In addition to having better power-supply rejection, the one V_{be} source has higher output impedance for signals originating at the collector of the output transistor. The one V_{be} source also lends itself well to simple modifications that can put both its power-supply rejection and output impedance below the noise threshold of Mr. Jung's test.

It is important to note that while power-supply rejection *may* indicate high output impedance, there is no guarantee that it is so. To fully characterize something as simple as a current source would require much more detailed testing. Some of the later examples in part two of the article (May '07), specifically Fig. 13C, look good for power-supply rejection because the source of M1 is bypassed to ground by stability capacitor C1. However, I believe that the output impedance of U1 will be quite a bit lower for signals originating in the output leg.

*Thomas Bohley
Colorado Springs, Colo.*

Walt Jung responds:

To first respond to paragraph one of this letter, it should be noted that others have already pointed out the poor-performance discrepancy of the Fig. 3A circuit. Chris Paul had first noted this to me in an e-mail; namely, that the "One V_{be} " circuit has a theoretical advantage, vis-à-vis the "Two V_{be} " type of Fig. 4A. We have since had several back-and-forth exchanges on this topic, aimed ultimately toward some sort of clarifying piece. The request from *audioXpress* for a published response to this letter warrants a reply, even if more information comes later. There is simply no way all of the pertinent technical points can be adequately addressed in a short form.

The essence of Chris' point was that the

basic reference impedance of Fig. 3A should be lower than that of Fig. 4A, by a factor of two. Thus it should theoretically and practically be better than Fig. 4A, as is also noted by Mr. Bohley. And, a SPICE simulation does support this point using the values of the respective figures—the Fig. 3A circuit is about a factor of two better than that of Fig. 4A. For SPICE, that is.

Unfortunately, lab measurements don't show this advantage for the Fig. 3A circuit, vis-à-vis the Fig. 4A circuit, at least not using the example values. When recently repeated, the lab data for the "One V_{be} " current source were found as published. But, it should be noted that higher values used for R1 might yield better results (by operating Q2 at less current). When R1 is set to 100k Ω , about 10dB better results were noted, as illustrated in the plots following below.

So, it is thus suggested that users of the Fig. 3A circuit might wish to operate Q2 at lower current relative to Q1, for two reasons. One is potential improvements in rejection, as noted. A second is for stability reasons, as originally noted.

So, my brief answer to Mr. Bohley about how I managed to get worse results for the Fig. 3A circuit is that I just plugged in the specified values, and I observed the cited results. Both originally, and also more recently. I agree that these results aren't spectacular, so I may have been bitten by a poor example. Mea culpa. Raising R1 does help the performance of the circuit as originally published. But, there is much more to be said on this circuit.

I received a further e-mail exchange on this part of the article from John Popelish, with a suggestion of a performance enhancement. I note from the above that while Mr. Bohley alludes to improvement modifications to this circuit, he offered no specific information. But John Popelish did, as follows:

"I am wondering if you have tested the simple enhancement to the two-transistor source shown in Fig. 3A. It is based on adding a second driving resistor, with a current approximately proportional to the total supply, but connected to cancel most of the effect of supply voltage variation, over some small range.

"For instance, if you reduce the Rset resistor from 332 Ω to 316 Ω (to compensate

for the small current reduction this change causes) and connect 174k Ω between ground and the bottom of Rset, you get much higher output impedance over the whole audio spectrum, but especially at the low end.

"Adding this compensating resistor, R3, makes the circuit a little less general, because its value must be optimized for each application, depending on the expected range of the supply. But if well chosen, the improvement in supply rejection can be impressive—about 60dB improvement at low frequencies. I am pretty confident that this version can compete with any non-cascoded design on which you reported."

I tested John's suggestion for performance enhancement both with SPICE and in the lab. The SPICE analysis was done with Linear Technology's "LTSpice" package (www.linear.com/designtools/software/switchercad.jsp/). The schematic of the circuit is similar to the original Fig. 3A, but with the addition of R3 to ground, at 174k Ω , and the slight adjustment of Rset. R1 is set to 100k Ω , for the reasons cited previously. This modified circuit is shown in Fig. 2, as it was lab tested.

Figure 1 is a plot of a DC simulation in LTSpice, with the supply swept from 16–20V, while R3 is stepped through a range of values, to illustrate the nulling properties. Note that there is a null in output change with supply voltage, which is here centered within a range around 18V for the 185k Ω trace. This null range constitutes a region of very high supply rejection, as can be seen from the data. A value for R3 which minimizes sensitivity for a given supply voltage can be used as a starting point for lab tests.

The Fig. 2 lab results also illustrate relevant points about the enhancements to the "One V_{be} " circuit discussed. With R1 set to 100k Ω and R3 open, a modest improvement is made over the originally published data of Fig. 3B. This is about 10dB, as can be noted on the intermediate curve.

When R3 is added and optimized with an in-situ trim, the errors fall down to the residual noise level at low frequencies. If this trim is done, it should consist of multiple steps. The first would be to use a high-resolution multi-turn film trimmer as a portion of R3, so as to find the exact null point. This would be using a low frequency measurement point, while measuring the null. In this case, the

null observed was -142dB at 100Hz. Then, the closest value film resistor can be used in circuits built, i.e., 150 or 154k Ω . Note that although this example was tested with the Audio Precision system, an ordinary shop audio source and a high gain AC preamplifier could also be used to find this null point.

Note also that even if an exact equivalent value resistor isn't available, substantial improvement can still be obtained, vis-à-vis R3 open. This preliminary run with SPICE may or may not be helpful toward narrowing down the truly optimum R3, depending upon the specific type and vendor of transistors and models used. But, in any event, it should give some insight into the mechanism causing the very high supply rejection properties.

Leaving the Popelish enhancements, this brings us to the points raised in paragraph two of Mr. Bohley's letter. He says: "... while power-supply rejection may indicate high output impedance, there is no guarantee that it is so." I simply disagree with this, for most of the intended measurement context. Are we really on the same page here? Virtually all of the Sources 101 tests have been specifically aimed toward uses in power systems, as, for example, the shunt regulator cited. This was discussed under "Whys and Wherefores," and "What Tests." I don't believe that a valid critique of this content should be extended to include all of the many more general usages possible. In designing these tests, I was aware of the limitations in testing for fixed loads, but decided that, even with this constraint, the information would still be very worthwhile. After all, who could argue with the merits of audio power supply systems with low RFI sensitivity?

Such applications use a series-connected current source of some impedance, Z, and drive a shunt-connected load. This situation is emulated in these tests with the 1 Ω load and the various circuit impedances tested, with calibration data shown. So, I believe the tests are valid for the conditions cited. But there can be exceptions to this—see "intrinsically high impedance output nodes" discussion below.

All that said, I think I do understand what Mr. Bohley is getting at as a potential weakness of some of the circuits. So, in principle I'd grant the general point that, yes, the behavior of some of these current source circuits can be application dependent. Many current source circuits behave differently if fed to medium or high impedance loads with voltage swings present, as opposed to the

virtual short of the Sources 101 test cases.

As far as other possible tests, I did allude to this, under "Measured Noise," so no one should interpret these results to be a final word on audio current source circuits. Of course, I do agree with Mr. Bohley that many other useful tests are possible. Perhaps he could explore some of these points in a future article.

Finally, on the performance of Fig. 13C. Yes, this circuit will act differently if the Rset output node is allowed to move in voltage terms. This current source circuit (and many others, I should add) can show different behavior depending on which node is used as the load, and the relative impedances seen there. Few current source circuits have completely symmetric two-terminal behavior, but as was noted in the article, if that's what you need, the JFET (or MOSFET, for higher current) types should be tried. See "Current Source or Current Sink?"

Other current sources useful where load dynamic swings are required would be ones with intrinsically high impedance output nodes (transistor collectors, FET drains, and so on). Examples here include the much discussed Fig. 3A (with variants), as well as

Figs. 4A, 5A, 6A, 9C, and so on. For these types of applications at the lower current levels, I will admit that my Sources 101 test methodology doesn't necessarily show an entire picture, as Mr. Bohley says.

Such as they are, the tests nevertheless still give indications which circuits are useful! The tests show that they differ in basic performance; they demonstrate cascode effectiveness, the importance of low-C, and the deterioration with current, and so on. The better performing ones (for example, Fig. 6A, using 2SA1016K transistors) should also do well in amplifier signal paths, either as an input diff pair tail current source, or as a driver stage dynamic load.

But, the above caveats weren't a consideration for the Fig. 13C circuit as originally used with a shunt regulator, with the output fixed at 12V or 21V. So, for these conditions, the test data can be considered valid. This circuit can also be used as either a source or a sink, and will be featured as part of a future shunt regulator article.

Other errata: There are references in the text and figures to the MOSFET circuits using the IXYS IXCP10M45S and the Supertex DN2540, with operation "up to 450V." To

1nV Low Noise Dual JFET

LSK 389 Series

LINEAR SYSTEMS

Low Noise < 1nV
Monolithic Dual
Tighter Idss Matching
Narrow Idss Grades
Low Capacitance: 20pf
Functional Replacement for 2SK389

www.linearsystems.com 800-359-4023

auricap
High Resolution
7.0% 10% 200V
Made in U.S.A.

Precision Wound • High Resolution Capacitors

**Top Performance
among exotic
capacitors at
reasonable
OEM prices**

"Auricaps are precision-wound in the US, and are considered by many to be the finest capacitors available."

Stereophile • June '06 • Peter Breuninger

OEM & dealer inquiries invited.
Call (800) 565-4390
www.audience-av.com

**Ready, willing
and
AVAL**



offering an extensive
range of ready-to-go
toroidal transformers
to please the ear, but won't
take you for a ride.

Aval Lindberg Inc.
47 South End Plaza
New Milford, CT 06776
tel: 860-355-4711
fax: 860-354-8597
www.avallindberg.com

clarify this point, readers should note that only the IXCP10M45S has the 450V rating; while the DN2540 is rated at 400V. My apologies for any confusion this may have raised.

Finally, my thanks to readers Bohley, Paul, and Popelish for sharing their thoughts on these articles, allowing an opportunity for further discussions. I have particularly enjoyed interacting with John Popelish on his enhancements to Fig. 3A. Also, John Larkin posted comments about similar enhancements to the "Two Vbe" type of current source on the USENET forum sci.electronics.design (message ID 1q3013huejba8d51v9kgn9n2spjgl96dbbh@4ax.com) and also in an e-mail to me.

It is hoped that a future "Sources" update

can address some further circuit developments along these lines.

Walt Jung's recent articles (April and May '07 *aX*) were very informative. I only wish there had been space to explore a couple of additional areas.

First, a few years back Doug Self briefly touched on small signal current sources and concluded that the differences in rail rejection would largely be mooted by use of a decoupling cap across the current source. I would have been interested to see whether the conclusion was repeatable and what benefit, if any, there might be when applied to the other current sources schemes described by Walt Jung.




FIGURE 1: A DC SPICE simulation of the enhanced circuit shows low errors, with an optimized null that occurs at one R3 value, here 185kΩ. Note that this null centers on a narrow range of supply voltage, in this case 18V. Note also that R3 values too low (155kΩ) result in a downward error slope, while values too high (221kΩ) result in an upward slope.




FIGURE 2: A lab test of the enhanced circuit (see inset) shows performance for Rset = 332Ω, R1 = 100kΩ, and R3 open, then with R3 added at 155kΩ. There is a distinct setting for R3 that results in the lowest errors, but unfortunately one not exactly predicted from SPICE.

Second, his measurement setup used a fixed load. Perhaps in a future article, he could explore the performance of the various current sources with variable or even reactive loads. This, too, may be a concern when selecting one approach over another requiring a decision to trade off some of one benefit to get more of the other.

M. Whitney
mwhitney6@cox.net

Walt Jung responds:

First, my thanks to Mr. Whitney for his interest in the articles.

To respond to the first item on Doug Self's form of the "One Vbe" current source, let me say that this is a worthy point. As it turns out, his variation, similar to the circuit shown in **Fig. 1**, really has excellent performance for line rejection. Readers of the original "Sources 101" articles will recognize this circuit as another variant of the "One Vbe" circuit, which was originally published as Fig. 3A in Part 1 of the article.

For specific details of Self's circuit and his overall context, I refer readers to his *Audio Power Amplifier Design Handbook*,

Fourth Edition, Newnes, 2006, ISBN: 978-0-7506-8072-1. A circuit which contains the current source in question can be found as Figure 7.5 (Note: this is available online from <http://books.elsevier.com/companions/9780750680721>).

In the circuit of **Fig. 1** shown here, Q1 and Q2 are 2SA1016K transistor types, which have a 150V rating. These transistors are not only suitable for power amplifiers in terms of this voltage rating, but, importantly, they also feature better performance in this circuit, vis-à-vis the PN2907A general-purpose counterparts. Self's circuit uses MPSA56 types for Q1-Q2, which have noticeably higher capacitance than do the 2SA1016Ks (about a factor of 3 or more at $V_{cb} = 10V$). Lab measurements were done on this circuit operating at a supply of 18V, under conditions otherwise similar to the previous tests, with the

Rset value shown, producing an output current just under 2mA.

Note that when applying this circuit to power amplifiers operating at voltages higher than 18V, the R1 value(s)/operating point of Q2 may need attention. For reasons cited previously, the higher values for R1 might yield better results, by virtue of operating Q2 at less current. Here, the target is about 160 μ A. Self's Figure 7.5 circuit operates the transistor comparable to Q2 (his TR14) at





FIGURE 1: A "One Vbe" type current source using a C1 bootstrap capacitor, similar to the form used by Doug Self.

CLIO 8



AUDIOMATICA
news

Turns your PC into the most complete easy-to-use electrical and acoustical measurement system

CLIOfw

- IEEE-1394 audio interface
- 24-bit up to 192kHz
- Balanced input and output
- Maximum performances
- Maximum portability

www.audiomatica.com www.cliosystem.com



■ The **CLIOfw FW-01 audio interface** sets new hardware precision standards for the CLIO system. The **CLIO 8 system software** is its perfect companion being the synthesis of more than 15 years of excellence in electrical and acoustical measurements.

Two channels separate input monitor and control up to +40 dBV (280 Vpp)

Programmable 90 kHz AC output generator

Input A dBfs 0 dBV Input B dBfs -40 dBV A-B Out 6.907 V 192kHz





In The 21st Century

Analog playback should be
More Technical
More Objective
More Affordable

The Technics SL-1200 SE
Five Base Models
Seven Genuine Upgrades
Starting At \$475

We Call it The
Audiophile Custom

KAB

Preserving The Sounds
Of A Lifetime

www.kabusa.com

The audio engineer's hands-on X-over design & speaker voicing tool.

ATTENTION!
Audio professionals, hobbyists, installers
and University students/professors.

The Virtual Crossover BOX™
by **W**
Vidsonix
Reuben Z. Marinak



Shipping Wt:
7 lbs.
K-VCB100
\$249.95



Now includes our exclusive
Crossover Design Pocket
Slide Tool!
Old Colony Sound Laboratory
PO Box 876, Dept. L152
Peterborough, NH 03458-0876 USA
888-924-9465 Fax: 603-924-9467
E-mail: custserv@audioXpress.com

Call 1-888-924-9465
today or order on-line
at **www.audioXpress.com!**

We also design and manufacture home
theater, marine, and professional loud-
speakers and accessories. Individual
drivers, including all Thiele-Small
parameters, are also available on our website.

Vidsonix Design Works
508 Performance Rd., Mooresville, NC 28115
(704) 658-9617
More Info at: **www.VIDSONIX.com**
©2007 VIDSONIX. All Rights Reserved

~2mA. For Fig. 1, the total R1 resistance is 100k. . . providing the 160μA. The equal values for R1a/R1b allow the AC bypass capacitor C1 to perform almost identically to the values of Self's circuit, where the cap value is 47μF and the total resistance is 20kΩ.

As can be noted from the data of Fig. 2, the presence/absence of C1 makes a remarkable difference toward operation. Without C1 (as in the intermediate curve), the line rejection is about 105dB, consistent with previous performance of this same circuit using PN2907As. But, with C1 active, the line rejection is on the order of 140dB at low frequencies, and actually challenges the test setup.

The C1 capacitance works to maintain high effective AC impedance for R1a, similar to the use of an active current source in place of R1a-R1b, but using passive parts only. It is worth noting that this technique also works with other current sources of this type; among these are the "Two-diode" and "LED" variants discussed in Figs. 4A and 5A of the original article. The key step is to split bias resistor R1 into two equal parts, and apply the coupling cap to the midpoint. I hope to discuss these circuit types in a follow-up article (see final point below).

As for Mr. Whitney's second query about other load conditions for current source tests, I can only hope that this has been at least in part addressed with my reply on this same point, within the reply to the Thomas Bohley letter.

My thanks again to readers Whitney, Bohley, Paul, and Popelish for sharing their thoughts on these articles, allowing opportunity for further discussions.

I hope that a future "Sources" update can address some further circuit developments along these lines, and bring these many-faceted points of audio current source performance into a more complete discussion.




FIGURE 2: The addition of bootstrap capacitor C1 to the One Vbe current source circuit of Fig. 1 source provides a substantial line rejection improvement.

CABINET BUILDING

I was so taken by William Eckle's article ("Building a Center Channel for an Altec A7-800," April '07, p. 24) that I started looking for and have located a pair of Altec A7-800s and a pair of Altec 755s, I think, type "C" 8" Altec drivers. I haven't found the 3000H tweeter or N3000 crossover yet.

Does Mr. Eckle have any dimensional information on how to build/manufacture the Altec "Malibu" style cabinets? They look great!

Edward J. Rusnak, Jr.
roidua@aol.com

William Eckle responds:

I thank Mr. Rusnak for his interest in my article. The outside dimensions of our "Malibu" clone are: top section 24" wide, 12 1/4" high, and 20 3/4" deep. The bottom section is 24" wide, 30" high, and 20 3/4" deep. They are bolted together with a 1" spacer covered with black Formica (we had scraps on hand). We made the cabinets in two pieces for easier handling and construction.

The bottom cabinet sides, front, and back extend beyond the cabinet bottom 1 1/4" to hide the casters. There are holes between the top and bottom cabinets to provide a common interior volume. We used 1" MDF with an extra 1/2" MDF on the front, and covered the entire cabinet with 1/4" oak plywood and installed quarter-round solid oak molding on all edges.

Two ports 4" in diameter and 3" long are on the bottom of the back panel. The ports are black ABS plastic sewerpipe. Good luck with your vintage home theater, and have fun.

VERSATILE AMP

Could Mr. Still clarify his schematic for the Versatile Line Amp (aX, May '07, p. 21) only with no headphone output nor 12AT7 tube nor output transformers? Is T2 the correct triad number? Mouser's description appears to be a single 115V secondary transformer in my catalog. It appears to me that in the power supply both DC outputs will be higher than 12V and 260V. Am I missing something here?

Thanks for the article. I look forward to building it.

G. Max Carter
Woodland Park, Colo.

Joseph Norwood Still responds:

The 12V DC and 260V DC are the output

voltages obtained from the power supply. These output voltages are correct.

The triad power transformer T2 (553-N77U) is reversed; the primary is operated as the secondary with the two 120V AC windings connected in series. The secondary of T2 is, of course, operated as the primary.

To limit the multimode amplifier to line amplifier use, delete all parts associated with power amp and headphone amp operation. This will simplify construction of the line amplifier.

Tube socket P-ST9-137R is no longer available. I suggest replacing with ceramic 7/8" diameter P-ST9-511 (\$1.95) from Antique Electronic Supply. Good luck on your project.

I do not understand the function switch S2a in Joseph Norwood Still's versatile line amp article. In one position it looks as though all audio is shunted to ground before it ever gets to the 12B4 tubes. Also, in the other position switch S2a shorts out all of the headphone signal, but this may allow more cathode current to flow for the power amp function. Maybe the switch is somehow misdrawn.

I noticed that the 12B4 tubes are not allowed to draw equal current. I say that because it looks as though they are not all sharing the same current in plate and cathode circuits because the diagram shows some plates and cathodes go through two and some tubes go through one resistor in both plate and cathode circuits. I am wondering whether I'm missing something.

James Gilmore

jmgilmore3@sbcglobal.net

Joseph N. Still responds:

Thank you for reading my article and the critical review. You are correct, the drawing I submitted to *audioXpress* had an error pertaining to switch S2A. Switch S2 has a wire corrected from the H.P. position to the 220N capacitor (C3). This wire must be eliminated from the circuit and all is well. The corrected circuit is shown in Fig. 1.

The second part of your question concerned unequal value of resistance between 12B4 stages. These resistors are used as carbon blocks to prepare oscillation. The small values of these cathode and plate resistors (2Ω) have no effect on currents of the 12B4s. Thus no unequal electrical balance problems are produced.

Thank you very much for finding the wir-




FIGURE 1: Corrected versatile line amp circuit.

AUDIO TRANSFORMERS

AMERICA'S PREMIER COIL WINDER

Engineering • Rewinding • Prototypes

McINTOSH - MARANTZ - HARMAN-KARDON
WESTERN ELECTRIC - TRIAD - ACROSOUND
FISHER - CHICAGO - STANCOR - DYNACO
LANGEVIN - PEERLESS - FENDER - MARSHALL
ELECTROSTATIC TRANSFORMERS
FAIRCHILD 660/670 RCA LIMITERS
WE DESIGN AND BUILD TRANSFORMERS
FOR ANY POWER AMPLIFIER TUBE

PHONE: [414] 774-6625 FAX: [414] 774-4425

AUDIO TRANSFORMERS

185 NORTH 85th STREET

WAUWATOSA, WI 53226-4601

E-mail: AUTRAN@AOL.com

NO CATALOG

CUSTOM WORK

ing error of switch S2. A critical review of articles is what *audioXpress* is all about. Between the author and a discerning reader, such as yourself, a finished DIY product is finally obtained!

PROTOTYPING BOARD

I would like to offer some additional information on the D-4 prototype circuit board that Gary Galo wrote about in the June issue ("Three New Prototyping Boards," p. 38). This board has several features that make it useful for building audio circuits. Some of the more important ones are:

1. The two power supply traces run the full length of the board, making it very easy to supply power to multiple ICs.
2. The ground trace runs down the middle of the board, providing a very short path to ground for the pins of an IC. This allows bypass capacitors to have very short leads, which can reduce the likelihood of oscillation in some circuits.
3. The above-mentioned power and ground traces are double width, to keep resistance as low as possible.
4. The "5 hole pad" design makes it easy to connect multiple passive components

to each IC pin. This is very handy for RIAA stages, which often have multiple resistors and capacitors connected in parallel.

Photo 1 shows a stereo phono preamp that I built using this board. The circuit was published in the May 2003 issue of *audioXpress* (page 62). As you can see, both channels (four ICs) easily fit on one D-4 board. The other circuit board in the photo is an Old Colony PCBK-13B power supply board. *ax*

Darren Hovsepian
DH Labs




PHOTO 1: Phono preamp application for D-4 prototype circuit board.

CONTRIBUTORS

Dennis Colin ("The LP797 Ultra-Low Distortion Phono Preamp," p. 6) has demonstrated the audibility of phase distortion at Boston Audio Society, and has designed the "Omni-Focus" speaker (bipolar coincidental with phase-linear first-order crossover), ARP 2600 analog music synthesizer, 1kW biamp and PWM supply at A/D/S, and Class D amps.

Pierre Touzelet ("Simple Approximations Of Tube Anode Characteristics," p. 18) is an audio enthusiast who resides in Vezily, France. His Excel Circuit Simulation article appears at www.audioXpress.com.

Tom Perazella ("The KISS Bass Project," p. 21) is the Director of Information Systems for a national retailer of professional photographic equipment headquartered in the midwest. His prior experience includes work as a Criminalist in the San Diego and Long Beach California Crime Labs and Director of Marketing for a photographic wholesale distributor. In addition to speaker design, Mr. Perazella has designed commercial high-powered electronic flash equipment as well as numerous pieces of audio electronics for his own use. Other leisure activities include cooking, golf, scuba diving, and motorcycles.

Bill Fitzmaurice ("The Tuba 24 II," p. 30) has been a professional musician since 1966 and has been constructing instruments, amplifiers, and speakers for just as long. Vice president of DeltaSounds Loudspeakers Inc., Fitzmaurice is the author of over 30 magazine articles dealing with speakers and electric instruments. Bill and his wife reside in Laconia, NH.

Ed Simon ("More On The Sound Strobe," p. 38) received his B.S.E.E. at Carnegie-Mellon University. He has installed over 500 sound systems at venues including Jacob's Field, Cleveland, Ohio; MCI Center, Washington D.C.; Museum of Modern Art Restaurants, New York; The Coliseum, Nashville, Tenn.; The Forum, Los Angeles; Fisher Cats Stadium, Manchester, N.H.

Darcy Staggs ("Solder Turrets," p. 45) is a retired engineer. His work experience began with the Apollo project, then defense analysis, writing management software at IBM in Stockholm Sweden, and later more defense analysis back in the US. His later years were occupied as a consulting engineer for a firm that dealt with product design, machine design, business development, and any other fascinating challenge that came in the front door.

Charles Hansen ("A Brief History of Bendix Red Bank Tubes" excerpt, p. 46) is an Engineering Consultant and holds five patents in his field of electrical engineering. He plays jazz guitar and enjoys modifying guitar amplifiers and effects to reduce noise and distortion, as well as building and restoring audio test equipment. He has another book to his credit, *The Joy of Audio Electronics*, and over 200 magazine articles on electronics and audio. He joined the Bendix Red Bank Division in 1966, and retired in 1998 as Supervisor of the Systems and Controls engineering group.

TEKTRON-ITALIA

Distributors:

USA & Canada:

Robin Wyatt

Basking Ridge, New Jersey, USA
Tel: +1-908-334-3241
Fax: +1-866-576-3912
Email: info@robbyattaudio.com
Web: <http://www.robbyattaudio.com>

Germany:

Frankfurter Hörergesellschaft

Peter Steinfadt
An der Luthereiche 8
D-63110, Rodgau, Germany
Tel: +49-171-58-03-718
Fax: +49-171-58-03-718
Email: info@hoergesellschaft.de
Web: <http://www.hoergesellschaft.de>

Singapore:

Aural Designs

1 Coleman Street, #03-11 The Adelphi,
Singapore 179803
Tel: +65-6339-0134
Fax: +65-6234-0134
Email: ADesigns@singnet.com.sg
Web: <http://www.aural-designs.com>

Hi-End Tube Amplifiers
designed and handmade
one at a time in Italy

www.tektron-italia.com

